Leverett Planning Board 7/17/2024

In attendance: Greg Tuzzolo, Tim Shores, Richard Nathhorst

Virtual attendance: Molly Daniell, Swan Keyes, Kimberly VanWagner

Absent: S. Freedman

7:43pm Meeting opened

Minutes accepted from 6/12/24 meeting: 6-0 vote

Agenda:

1. FEMA maps by Richard information

- a. We need to draft a first version of the flood plain bylaw to be in compliance with the NFIP eligibility requirements; eventually the draft will need to voted into law
- b. Richard will start by weeding through the existing bylaws and drafting something in line with the state
- c. The PB expects challenges for some property owners as these required bylaws may impact the ability of property owners to make modifications and/or participate in the NFIP
- d. Let's consider making a presentation in the fall for residents to anticipate the necessary vote at Town Meeting and lay the groundwork for acceptance
- e. https://www.mass.gov/doc/2020-model-floodplain-bylaw-slide-deck-with-notes/download
- f. https://www.mass.gov/doc/bylaw-fag-from-presentation-sessions/download

2. Neighborhood Renewal Program

- Richard just wants PB to be aware of this powerful tool to cleanup abandoned and derelict properties; for example, the Field home across from Leverett Pond and 1 Dudleyville Road
- b. He warns that the Historical Commision may be interested in using this law to pursue the Field building and help with remediation
- c. https://www.munireg.com/massachusetts-attorney-generals-neighborhood-renewal-division/
- d. The PB referenced previous experience with trying to use CPA funds to hire housing person as ombudsman for Council on Aging
- e. We may need a housing inventory for derelict properties or other properties by type

3. Comprehensive Plan

- a. Grant ended; time for consultant has ended; the subcommittee(s) have ended.
- b. How do we capitalize on what we have learned and help the town make decisions?
 - i. We need to make a plan on how we distribute this version
 - ii. We need to ensure the substantive elements of feedback were incorporated into the final recommendations and if not, correct/include

- 1. Molly is willing to help craft a high level plan for what the engagement process looks like
- 2. Molly and Greg are willing to draft a road map; Greg suggests as a cover letter for the final version of the plan documents, spelling out what we are going to do and when:
 - i. Both up to the point of public release of the final draft; and
 - Ii. After public release, outlining in the road map the steps that will lead up to the culminating vote of the plan
- c. Much discussion about next steps and attempts at articulating motions clarifying next steps; two general broad directions:
 - Let's just get it out there, putting us in view of potential grant funding, get final thoughts, and then be done with the plan design part; start the actions and change the zoning OR
 - ii. Let's work on amending it, incorporating more feedback, hold more community information dialogues/conversations
- d. Molly agrees to take a hard look at the plan and the timeline and come to next PB meeting with recommendations/plan drafted for next steps
- e. No motions.

4. Kittredge estate

- a. Greg and others from the Select Board, Finance Committee, and residents met with a 40b expert: Phillip DeMartino, Executive Office of Housing and Livable Communities a week ago
- b. Then group went into executive session with an attorney connected via Jacob Park; details about the project discussed
 - Because the property crosses town lines and county lines, Jo Comerford suggested considering bond authorization for a consultant to help parties navigate options
 - ii. Greg was asked by walk-in Carol Heim what did he convey during the meeting with Jo Comeford as the town's wishes and how did he decide what to convey
 - 1. Greg responded that housing is an important issue
 - 2. Greg added that as a town, we need alignment among ourselves and eventually, with a developer to see a project be successful
 - 3. Greg & Molly: We may need more expert advice to suss out how we can have alignment as a town
 - 4. Tim: Concern that we are "shadowboxing" and spending lots of time discussing/meeting about this, when there is a very capable Juggler Meadow group of residents who can self-advocate until this becomes a planning board matter
 - 5. Richard: Juggler Meadow Road and properties will be affected by new floodplain maps.
 - iii. Jacob Park Kittredge is still pushing for 400 units (over 100 would be in Leverett) vs. the state recommended max of 48 units

- 1. The owner wants to sell to a developer and to do so would need to make the project attractive
- 2. The cost of high end amenities such as spa need to be carried over a number of years
- 3. Luxury amenity model means higher unit number than the reasonable cap
- 4. That's a big difference between what we suspect what the town can accept as a unit amount and what the estate is considering
- 5. If 40b pursued, PB would be asked to speak during 30 day review period
- iv. Tim what about a statement? What about a commercial establishment?
- 5. Stephen is stepping down from the Board, and the Select Board needs to vote on replacement. [The term would be for one year to finish out Steve's term we find out after meeting from an email from Steve]. We can make a recommendation to the Select Board. We need to decide during our next meeting. Tim opportunity for town newsletter in early September; Richard put out simple request for folks to come.
 - a. We need more clarity about the process. Greg will follow up with Margie.
- 6. Meeting posting procedure: Greg and Richard will work together to clarify process and responsibilities.
- 7. Next meeting scheduled for 8/14, but Greg out of town. Meeting date changed to 8/7.
 - a. Please add zoom link into agenda when agenda sent if possible, since it is so challenging to find via the town website.
 - b. Here is the link to the Town Calendar, where you can usually find the zoom link for the meeting: https://www.leverett.ma.us/calendar

Meeting adjourned: 9:54pm